

## TOK essays examiner's comments

Mark awarded	5
Marks available	10

### Example G

The discussion has some focus on the title. In the arts section, methodology is mentioned to distinguish good from bad interpretations, but no case of bad interpretation is given. Regarding the natural sciences the essay points to falsification and evidence as a way of differentiating interpretations but the examples of Darwin's and Lamarck's theories are not conclusive. There is also an attempt at providing a different view with Fela's work, but just an attempt. As a whole, a mainstream essay; satisfactory, but closer to basic than good.