TOK ESSAY GRADING RUBRIC

Aspect	Level 5	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Irrelevant 0
	Excellent 9–10	Very Good 7–8	Satisfactory 5–6	Basic 3–4	Elementary 1–2	
Understanding Knowledge Questions	There is a sustained focus on knowledge questions connected to the prescribed title and are well chosen—developed with investigation of different perspectives and linked effectively to areas of knowledge and/or ways of knowing.	There is a <i>focus</i> on knowledge questions <i>connected</i> to the prescribed title— developed with <i>acknowledgment</i> of different perspectives and linked to areas of knowledge and/or ways of knowing .	There is a <i>focus</i> on <i>some</i> knowledge questions <i>connected</i> to the prescribed title—with <i>some</i> development and linking to areas of knowledge and/or ways of knowing .	Some knowledge questions that are connected to the prescribed title are considered, but the essay is largely descriptive, with superficial or limited links to areas of knowledge and/or ways of knowing.	The essay has only very limited relevance to the prescribed title— relevant points are <i>descriptive</i> .	The essay does not reach a standard described by levels 1–5 or is not a response to one of the prescribed titles on the list for the current session.
Quality of Analysis of Knowledge Questions	Arguments are clear, supported by real-life examples and are effectively evaluated; counterclaims are extensively explored; implications are drawn.	Arguments are clear, supported by real-life examples andare evaluated; some counterclaims are identified and explored.	<i>Some</i> arguments are <i>clear</i> and supported by examples; some counterclaims are <i>identified</i> .	Arguments are offered but are <i>unclear</i> and/or <i>not</i> <i>supported</i> by <i>effective</i> examples .	Assertions are offered but are <i>not</i> <i>supported</i> .	The essay does not reach a standard described by levels 1–5 or is not a response to one of the prescribed titles on the list for the current session.